Infectious Diseases Update 2021

Edith Blondel-Hill



Disclosures

Faculty: Edith Blondel-Hill

Relationships with commercial interests:

- Grants/Research Support: NONE
- Speakers Bureau/Honoraria: NONE
- Consulting Fees: NONE
- Other: Alberta Health Services
 - nominal payment for updating Bugs and Drugs app/website





Review updates in:

- Medical Microbiology
- Infectious Diseases
- Antimicrobial Stewardship
- Infection Prevention and Control



What is new in Microbiology?



Taxonomy Changes



Taxonomy

- Enterobacter aerogenes Klebsiella aerogenes
- Clostridium difficile Clostridioides difficile
- Chlamydia pneumoniae Chlamydophila pneumonia
- Propionibacterium acnes Cutibacterium acnes
- Bacillus and related species:
 - Lysinobacillus spp
 - Paenibacillus spp
- Gram positive anaerobes:
 - Anaerococcus / Atopobium / Parvimonas / Finegoldia / Peptoniphilius









Summary of Novel Bacterial Isolates Derived from Human Clinical Specimens and Nomenclature Revisions Published in 2018 and 2019

Erik Munson,^a Karen C. Carroll^b

- Mycoplasma genitalium Mycoplasmoides genitalium
- Mycoplasma hominis Metamycoplasma hominis
- Mycoplasma lipophilum Mycoplasmopsis lipophila
- Mycoplasma pneumoniae Mycoplasmoides pneumoniae



MINIREVIEW



Name Changes for Fungi of Medical Importance, 2018 to 2019

^(D)Andrew M. Borman,^{a,b} Elizabeth M. Johnson^{a,b}

- C. glabrata Nakaseiomyces glabrata
- C. guilliermondii Meyerozyma guilliermondii
- C. krusei Pichia kudriavzevii
- C. lusitaniae Clavispora lusitaniae

Other less common yeast :

- Debaryomyces spp
- Kluyvermyces spp
- Yarrowia spp
- Wickerhamomyces spp
- Diutina spp

Enhanced Microbiology Testing - Kootenays





MRSA PCR for Gram + Cocci in Clusters in Blood Cultures



S.Aureus / MRSA PCR Reporting



MRP: FamPhy:

| Name: | | | |
|-------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Sex: | Acct: | SOO | 080537/17 |
| DOB: | MedRec: | S000035142 | |
| PHN: | Adm: | | |
| Ph: | Dis: | | |
| Loc: | Type: | DEP | ED |

Laboratory Specimen Report

SPEC #: PT17:MB0001609U COLL: 12Jan17-1715 SUBM DR: STATUS: RES RECD: 12Jan17-1728 OTHR DR: QUERIES: Does patient have prosthetic device/implant or heart valve? Unknown Is patient on antibiotics? No ORDERED: Blood C&S SPEC SOURCE/DESC: Blood / Venipuncture

| Result Verified |
|--|
| 15/01/17-1236 |
| Gram positive cocci, clusters - suggestive of Staphylococcus species in 4 out of 4 bottles (aerobic and anaerobic bottles) |
| Staphylococcus aureus **MRSA NOT detected by molecular method** Recommend repeat blood culture within 48-72 hrs of starting therapy to ensure clearance of bacteremia |
| Aerobic and Anaerobic bottles: 12 -24 hrs incubation TWO blood culture sets collected in this episode |
| |

HSV/VZV PCR - CSF



Respiratory Pathogen Testing



BIOSENE Disconstructions

- Adenovirus
- Coronaviruses 229E, HKUI, NL63, OC43
- SARS CoV-2
- Human Metapneumovirus
- Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus
- Influenza A
- Influenza B
- Parainfluenza Viruses 1, 2, 3, and 4
- Respiratory Syncytial Virus
- Bordetella parapertussis
- Bordetella pertussis
- Chlamydophila pneumoniae
- Mycoplasma (Mycoplasmoides) pneumoniae





BIOFIRE

Respiratory Pathogen Testing for Kootenays

Flu/RSV/COVID

- November 1st testing all inpatients
- Admitted children < 5 yrs / Bronchoscopy specimens:
 - automatically add on Magpix
 - done in house by BioFire or sent out to BCCDC
- ICU automatic testing with BioFire

Note:

- Legionella not included in Biofire contact lab (Magpix- BCCDC)
- Critical ICU patient / sample collected in ED order Magpix
- Other admitted patients:
 - order Magpix separately if required



Helicobacter pylori Testing



H. pylori Serology

- Negative predictive value of 98.4%
 - non-reactive serology rules out HP infection
- Positive confirms exposure to HP but not active infection
 - positive serology can be positive lifelong.
 - if positive, repeat serology testing is **NOT** indicated
- Highly sensitive screen
 - valuable first step in testing
 - reactive/equivoval results require further testing



H. pylori (HP) Stool Antigen Test

- Detection H.pylori antigens in stool
 - comparable to UBT
- Confirmatory test:
 - active infection if serology reactive/equivocal
 - test of cure \geq 4 weeks after eradication therapy
- Collection instructions:
 - non-diarrheal stool in sterile container
 - stop PPIs, antibiotics, bismuth products
 - <u>at least 2 wks</u> prior to collection
 - avoids false negative results









H. pylori Management

Patients \geq 60 years:

- endoscopy recommended for dyspepsia
- H. pylori testing optional

Patients < 60 years:

- First line serology
 - If equivocal/reactive ______ stool antigen test (SAT)
 - If SAT equivocal / positive ______ eradication therapy
 Note: East Kootenay 2021: 9/159 (7.5%) tests positive

Treat - quadruple therapy regimen x14 days (7-10 days - failures)

- PPI + amoxicillin + metronidazole + clarithromycin
- PPI + bismuth + metronidazole + tetracycline



What is New in Infectious Diseases?



Duration of Therapy



Pneumonia

Discontinuing β -lactam treatment after 3 days for patients with community-acquired pneumonia in non-critical care wards (PTC): a double-blind, randomised, placebocontrolled, non-inferiority trial

- duration of therapy: 3-5 days
- ceftriaxone I gram vs 2 grams equivalent
 - IH discontinuing automatic substitution to 2 grams Infectious Diseases:
 - doxycycline preferred over azithromycin for combination
 - protective against CDI
 - azithromycin –prokinetic: diarrhea
 - inappropriate testing and treating for CDI
 - Resistance:
 - macrolides- 24%
 - Doxycycline- 26%
 - TMP-SMX- 17%

Ar dien Dirh Jaapen Ropers, Clare Doran, Berjamin Devids, Lawine Deconind, Margon Matt, Olivia Serard, Ao rerel, agrange, Sabrine Makhlavfs, Gollaumeht elan, Vistaire de Lataum, Fräderipas Baschend, Ersmannel Mathieu, Jean Emmannel Kehr, Einabeth Ronneis, Jein Gerest, Jernifer Dornaufs, Thierry Chint, Marion Papis, Varninge Delary, Splnnin Diamantis, David Berhamas, Virginiel/Erat, Marie Christine Dambert, Bertrand Renauf, Christian Personne, Yann Frick Gaussens, Jonal Autoring Jean Pierre Belos, Philippe Angester, Anne Gaude Greinians, for the Postmaria Short Freit meet (PIC) Study Geop

Cellulitis

- outpatient management for majority
- duration of therapy: 5 days

MINIMUM CLINICAL CRITERIA (ALL):

- redness
- warmth
- swelling
- pain
- unilateral

Refer to DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS if bilateral or not all minimum clinical criteria met

GENERAL MANAGEMENT:

- ELEVATION OF LIMB ESSENTIAL
- if systemic symptoms: CBC ± CRP
- if fever / chills or lymphangitis: blood cultures

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

BILATERAL CELLULITIS: MIMICS



August 19, 2021



SESCAID TRANS

Gram Negative Infections

Seven versus 14-days course of antibiotics for the treatment of bloodstream infections by Enterobacterales. A randomized, controlled trial

JAMA | Original Investigation

Effect of 7 vs 14 Days of Antibiotic Therapy on Resolution of Symptoms Among Afebrile Men With Urinary Tract Infection A Randomized Clinical Trial

Dimitri M. Drekonja, MD, MS, Barbara Trautner, MD, PhD; Carla Amundson, MA; Michael Kuskowski, PhD; James R. Johnson, MD

Yeast Infections



Emerging Issue

Sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT2) inhibitors

- antihyperglycemic agent improved patient outcomes
 - use expected to increase ++

However

- increase the risk of mycotic genital infections:
 - I in I0 women
 - I in 5 men

Recommendations for yeast infections:

"continue the SGLT2i, and treat infection with fluconazole"

Every person matters

Urinary Tract Infections

Azoles:

- itraconazole, voriconazole, posaconazole:
 - minimal excretion of active compound in urine

Echinocandins

- do not achieve significant concentrations in urine
- percent of plasma concentrations:
 - caspofungin- 1.4%
 - micafungin 0.7%
 - anidulafungin <0.1%



Clinical Infectious Diseases

MAJOR ARTICLE



Echinocandins Compared to Fluconazole for Candidemia of a Urinary Tract Source: A Propensity Score Analysis

Guillermo Cuervo,^{1,2} Carolina Garcia-Vidal,^{3,4} Mireia Puig-Asensio,⁵ Antonio Vena,⁶ Yolanda Meije,⁷ Mario Fernández-Ruiz,⁸ Eva González-Barberá,⁹ María José Blanco-Vidal,¹⁰ Adriana Manzur,¹¹ Celia Cardozo,^{3,4} Carlota Gudiol,^{1,2} José Miguel Montejo,¹⁰ Javier Pemán,⁹ Josefina Ayats,^{1,2} Jose María Aguado,⁸ Patricia Muñoz,⁶ Francesc Marco,^{3,4} Benito Almirante,⁵ and Jordi Carratalà^{1,2}; for Grupo de Estudio de Micología Médica (GEMICOMED), Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica (SEIMC); and Red Española de Investigación en Patología Infecciosa (REIPI)

Cuervo et al CID 2017

- multi-centre study 9 hospitals
- I28/2176 episodes candidemia from UTI source
 - C. albicans 52.7%
 - C. glabrata 25.6%
 - C. tropicalis 16.3%

Results:

Initial echinocandin therapy not associated with clinical failure

Therapy for Candidemia

Crude mortality (60%) Attributable mortality (40%)

Micafungin- empiric drug of choice for yeast BSI

- treatment for at least 14 days after documented clearance and resolution of symptoms
- step down to fluconazole if clearance of blood cultures
 - as effective full course with echinocandin
 - decreases risk of echinocandin resistance



Fluconazole

Underdosing:

- pediatric cancer patients (CID 2014)
- obese populations (AAC 2016)
- renal replacement therapy (AAC 2021)
- increased renal clearance (AAC 2021)

Overdosing adverse events:

- Up to 38.5% of patients
- CNS, liver dysfunction, GI effects, rash



PHARMACOLOGY



Muilwijk et al AAC 2020

Suboptimal Dosing of Fluconazole in Critically III Patients: Time To Rethink Dosing

Antimicrobial Agents

Eline W. Muilwijk,^{s,b*} Dylan W. de Lange,^c Jeroen A. Schouten,^{a*} [©]Roeland E. Wasmann,^{a,b} Rob ter Heine,^a David M. Burger,^a Angela Colbers,^a Pieter J. Haas,^a Paul E. Verweij,^{b,j} Peter Pickkers,^{b,g} [©]Roger J. Brüggemann^{a,b}

- open label multi-centre observational study
- dosing of fluconazole in critically ill patients /renal dysfunction Results:
 - higher clearance than normal in critically ill patients
 - suboptimal dosing of fluconazole in critically ill

Recommended dose:

- 400 mg poor to moderate renal function
- 600 mg normal renal function
- 800 mg CRRT

Yeast Susceptibility Testing



Lyme Disease



Lyme disease in BC: 2009-2018





Ixodes pacificus



- western black-legged tick
- western coast of North America
- principal vector of Lyme disease



Morshed et al, Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2021 Apr 7. doi: 10.1089/vbz.2020.2743


Tick Composition in BC (2002-2018)



Tick Composition in Interior Health (2002-2018)



Health Authority Specific Tick Seasonality



| State | 2017 | Incidence 3-Year average |
|------------|-------|-----------------------------|
| Washington | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Oregon | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Idaho | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| California | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| | | |
| Maine | 106.6 | 89.2 |
| Vermont | 103.6 | 86.7 |
| New Jersey | 40.3 | 40.5 |

British Columbia- 0.2%

Lyme Disease in Canada 2016



All the cases recorded for Alberta and Newfoundland and Labrador were acquired on travel outside the province. Data source: Health Canada

Standard and Modified Two-tiered Testing for Lyme disease



Modified Two-Tiered Testing for Lyme disease

- > 25% improvement in sensitivity for detection of early infection
- technically less laborious / less subjectivity
- faster turnaround time
 - facilitate acute / convalescent testing
 - non-EM early localized LD
- patients presenting with EM
 - still require empiric antibiotics sensitivity still not >90%
- cannot differentiate between recent and past infections
 - same as standard wo-tiered testing
- impact of MTTT on specificity in low prevalence areas unclear

Hantavirus



Reported Hantavirus Cases in British Columbia, 1994-2020





EDTA blood, Lung aspirate PCR Sequencing

What is New in Antimicrobial Stewardship?



Antibiotic Utilization



Community Antimicrobial Stewardship in BC

Since 2005

- implementation of Alberta Do Bugs Need Drugs? program
- BCCDC: establishment of Community Antimicrobial Stewardship program
- multifaceted educational programs:
 - public/school children/daycares/elderly
 - teachers/early childhood educators/medical/nursing students
 - healthcare professionals
 - physicians, nurse practitioners, dentists
 - expansion to online presence
 - Antibiotic Wise campaign
 - social media channels





Antimicrobial utilization



Top prescribed antibiotics in B.C. Amoxicillin | Cefalexin | Nitrofurantoin | Amoxicillin and enzyme inhibitors | Azithromycin





Dentist prescribing rate/1000 population/year





Antibiotic Utilization



Mean and median days of antibiotic prescription by practitioner group (1996-2018)

| Profession | Median (IQR) | Mean ± SD |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Naturopathic physician | 16 (10-30) | 22.7 ± 18.3 |
| Podiatrist | 8 (8-10) | 9.0 ± 4.6 |
| Dentist | 7 (7-8) | 7.4 ± 5.1 |
| Midwife | 7 (5-10) | 7.8 ± 4.3 |
| Nurse Practitioner | 7 (3-10) | 9.2 ± 14.3 |
| Optometrist | 7 (7-10) | 10.3 ± 11.7 |
| Pharmacist | 7 (5-10) | 8.6 ± 0.7 |
| Physician | 7 (7-10) | 10.8 ± 12.9 |

Cumulative Antimicrobial Consumption

| Indicator | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021* | 2019-2020. | 2020-2021.* | 2020-2021.* |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | EKH | КВН | PRH | VJH |
| DDD/1000 pt-days | 498 | 506 | 536 | 558 | 492 |
| DOT/1000 pt-days | 421 | 437 | 481 | 485 | 406 |
| *April 1, 2020 to March 31, 2 | 021 | | | | |

Top 10 Antimicrobial Consumption Defined Daily Dose (DDD)/1000 Patient-Days+

+Standard Adult Daily Dose Defined by the WHO

| Antimicrobial | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021* | % Change | 2020-2021*2 | 2020-2021. | 2020-2021.2 |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | | EKH | | KBH | PRH | VJH |
| cefTRIAXone | 88.6 | 118.6 | 34% | 83.3 | 90.1 | 77.2 |
| ceFAZolin | 94.1 | 77.0 | -18% | 102.8 | 74.5 | 123.6 |
| piperacillin-tazobactam | 34.7 | 43.4 | 25% | 56.3 | 36.9 | 38.2 |
| metroNIDAZOLE IV | 28.8 | 42.0 | 46% | 19.7 | 13.0 | 19.2 |
| doxycycline | 37.1 | 31.0 | -17% | 22.1 | 27.2 | 40.6 |
| amoxicillin-clavulanate | 24.5 | 28.7 | 17% | 31.2 | 43.7 | 24.5 |
| ampicillin IV | 14.6 | 19.0 | 30% | 12.4 | 14.5 | 7.1 |
| vancomycin IV | 22.6 | 15.0 | -33% | 25.9 | 14.3 | 13.5 |
| azithromycin IV | 9.5 | 11.8 | 24% | 12.9 | 14.4 | 13.8 |
| azithromycin PO | 17.5 | 11.7 | -33% | 12.2 | 15.1 | 13.4 |
| | | | | | | |

Days of Therapy (DOT)/1000 Patient-Days

| Antimicrobial | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021* | % Change | 2020-2021* | 2020-2021.* | 2020-2021* |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|-------------|------------|
| | | EKH | | KBH | PRH | VJH |
| cefTRIAXone | 89.2 | 117.2 | 31% | 82.4 | 88.9 | 76.2 |
| piperacillin-tazobactam | 41.5 | 52.4 | 26% | 68.1 | 48.7 | 44.2 |
| metroNIDAZOLE IV | 32.1 | 46.8 | 46% | 25.4 | 17.7 | 24.8 |
| ceFAZolin | 51.7 | 40.9 | -21% | 54.5 | 44.2 | 66.5 |
| amoxicillin-clavulanate | 14.5 | 17.2 | 19% | 19.0 | 29.1 | 16.7 |
| vancomycin IV | 20.7 | 15.7 | -24% | 33.1 | 19.0 | 13.7 |
| doxycycline | 18.9 | 15.7 | -17% | 12.0 | 16.0 | 16.2 |
| metroNIDAZOLE PO | 32.1 | 15.3 | -52% | 9.2 | 11.6 | 10.6 |
| azithromycin IV | 10.5 | 12.1 | 15% | 12.9 | 15.2 | 14.4 |
| azithromycin PO | 14.2 | 11.0 | -23% | 9.0 | 14.3 | 6.2 |

-Stable cumulative consumption (DOT/1000 patient-days †4%) -Cumulate consumption 3rd highest of the regional hospitals ~44% of inpatients receiving antimicrobials/day

.

East Kootenay Hospital Antimicrobial Consumption 2020-2021

*Highest of regional hospitals

Increased consumption: amoxicillin-clavulanate †19% ceftriaxone †31%** metronidazole IV †46%** piperacillin-tazobactam †26%

Decreased consumption: cefazolin 121% metronidazole PO 152% vancomycin IV 124%

Analysis:

- Broad-spectrum antibiotic has increased for amoxicillinclavulanate, ceftriaxone, piperacillintazobactam,

- Consumption of ceftriaxone and metronidazole IV is highest of the 4

Asymptomatic Bacteriuria





CO

A national initiative to stop inappropriate antibiotic use for asymptomatic bacteriuria in long-term care residents.

STOP treating asymptomatic bacteriuria; it is not an infection STOP testing foul-smelling, dark, or cloudy urine

WAIT and rehydrate residents who develop changes in mental status, behaviour, or function <u>without</u> typical urinary tract infection symptoms

GO to urinalysis and urine culture if typical signs and symptoms of urinary tract infection are present

For more directions and guidance: www.ammi.ca #SymptomFreeLetItBe



Myths and Truths about Urinary Tract Infections in Long Term Care Residents

| МҮТН — | TRUTH | МҮТН — | TRUTH |
|---|--|--|---|
| Cloudy or smelly urine = UTI | Changes in the appearance and/or odour alone should not be used to diagnose a UTI or as an indication for urine culture. Colour, clarity and smell are often affected by diet, certain medications and hydration status. Do not send urine for culture unless resident has symptoms of an infection. | Fever and bacteriuria always indicates a UTI | A fever in a non-catheterized resident, with bacteria in the urine, and with no other signs and symptoms of UTI should be investigated for other sources of infection. The diagnosis of a UTI in this case is a diagnosis of exclusion. Bacteriuria is common, especially in the elderly and in residents of long term care facilities. |
| | | | |
| | | МҮТН — | TRUTH |
| МҮТН | TRUTH | Candida or yeast | Candida or yeast in the urine often reflects colonization rather than infection. |
| Positive test for | Positive leukocyte esterase and/or nitrites may indicate the presence of white blood cells (WBCs) or bacteria in the urine (bacteriuria), but it does not confirm that there is an infection. | in the urine should be treated | Treatment of Candida or yeast is rarely required and should only be considered if there are obvious signs and symptoms of a UTI and no alternate source is identified. |
| leukocyte esterase and/or nitrites = UTI | Signs and symptoms of UTI are necessary for a diagnosis as pyuria (WBCs in the urine), bacteria and nitrites can also be present in a condition called asymptomatic | | |
| | bacteriuria which is a common colonization state in elderly residents. | МҮТН —— | TRUTH |
| | Note: A negative leukocyte esterase and negative nitrite test can rule out UTI in most residents. | Urine should be periodically sent | Urine cultures for residents without signs and/or symptoms of UTI should NOT be sent for screening purposes except prior to undergoing invasive genitourinary procedures. |
| мүтн — | TRUTH | for culture | Asymptomatic bacteriuria is common in the elderly. It is not harmful and should not be treated with antibiotics unless the resident is undergoing an invasive urinary procedure. |
| Pyuria | Pyuria indicates the presence of WBC and inflammation, which are not specific for infection. | | |
| (WBC in urine) = UTI | In addition, the degree of pyuria does not differentiate between asymptomatic bacteriuria and infection. | МҮТН — | TRUTH |
| | Pyuria and bacteriuria are common in the elderly (especially those with indwelling catheters). | You must treat a | Cystitis (bladder infection) can be treated in as few as 3-5 days in women and 5-7 days in men. |
| | | UTI for 7-14 days | Even uncomplicated pyelonephritis (kidney infection) in women can be successfully treated with only 5-7 days of antibiotics. |
| МҮТН —— | TRUTH | | Unnecessarily long durations of treatment increase the risk for adverse effects including <i>C. difficile</i> . |
| The urine should be | Bacteriuria is common Incidence of bacteriuria in residents of long term care homes: | | |
| sterile, therefore | – Women: 25-50% | МҮТН — | TRUTH |
| bacteria in the urine = UTI | Men: 15-40% Nearly 100% of catheterized residents are colonized within 2-4 weeks | You need to | There is no reason to re-culture urine after treatment unless the resident is not improving clinically. |
| unite = 011 | Bacteriuria without signs and symptoms of infection should not be treated with antibiotics as it represents a colonized state in the elderly. | repeat urine cultures after treatment | Bacteriuria can occur even after effective therapy and is not a reason to prolong therapy in an asymptomatic resident. |
| | | | References |
| МҮТН —— | TRUTH | | rding the diagnosis and treatment of urinary tract infections. |
| Falls or change in mental | A fall or a change in mental status in a resident without any other signs and symptoms of infection should be investigated for other causes. The diagnosis of a UTI in this case is a diagnosis of exclusion. | The Journal of Emergency Medici Nicolle L. et al. Infectious Disease of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Ad | ne, 2016;51(1):25-30. Is Society of America Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment dults. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2005;40:643-654. |
| status in the elderly = UTI | Even if urine cultures are positive, in stable residents without any signs and symptoms of UTI, 24 hours of hydration (unless on fluid restriction) can be safely tried before starting an antibiotic. | long-term care residents. 2017. Ac | ogy and Infectious Disease Canada. <i>Asymptomatic bacteriuria in</i> ccessible online https://www.ammi.ca/?ID=127 |
| | salely theu before starting an antibiotic. | Acknowledgements: christel Jona | anson, BSc Pharm, ACPR and Craig Lee, MD, FRCPC – The Ottawa Hospital |

Symptom-Free Pee: LET IT BE

S. aureus Bacteremia Management



Staphylococcus aureus Bacteremia Management







Vancomycin





Vancomycin – Therapeutic Drug Monitoring in Adults Update

The Key Messages

- Area-under-the-curve to minimum inhibitory concentration ratio (AUC:MIC)-based vancomycin therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) is **NOT** recommended as standard of practice in the nonpregnant adult population
- Vancomycin trough-based monitoring that target steady-state trough levels of 10 to 15 mg/L is recommended for treatment of most infections
- Higher trough level targets of 15 to 20 mg/L may be considered for severe infections, based on expert guidance (e.g. infectious diseases physician) regarding the risk and benefits

| | TABLE 1. INITIAL DOSE PER INTERV | /AL | | | | |
|----------------------|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| TOTAL BODY WEIGHT | LOADING DOSE (suggested maximum 3000 mg/dose) | MAINTENANCE DOSE* | | | | |
| kg | (25 mg/kg) | (15 mg/kg) | | | | |
| 40-50 | 1250 mg | 750 mg | | | | |
| 51-60 | 1500 mg | 1000 mg | | | | |
| 61-70 | 1750 mg | 1000 mg | | | | |
| 71-80 | 2000 mg | 1250 mg | | | | |
| 81-90 | 2250 mg | 1250 mg | | | | |
| 91-100 | 2500 mg | 1500 mg* | | | | |
| 101-110 | 2750 mg | 1500 mg* | | | | |
| 111-120 | 3000 mg | 1500 mg* | | | | |

TABLE 2. INITIAL VANCOMYCIN DOSING INTERVAL

| | | | | | | P | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|--------|--------|--|--|
| | TABLE 3 USUAL TARGET 10-15 mg/L | | | | | | | |
| | IN | ITIAL DOSI | NG INTERV | | | | | |
| SCr | | | | oup (years) | | | | |
| (mcmol/L) | 20-29 | 30-39 | 40-49 | 50-59 | 60-69^ | 70-79^ | | |
| 40-60 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 18 | | |
| 61-80 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 18 | 18 | | |
| 81-100 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 18 | 18 | 18 | | |
| 101-120 | 12 | 12 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 24 | | |
| 121-140 | 12 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 24 | | | |
| 141-160 | 18 | 24 | 24 | 24 | | | | |
| 161-180 | 24 | 24 | | | | | | |
| 181-200 | 24 | | | | | | | |
| Above 200 | | | | | | | | |
| Dialysis | | : | See TABLE | 5 (back of c | ard) | | | |

Beta-lactam allergy



Beta-lactam Allergy Matrix Chart



Theoretical risk of cross reaction, no clinical studies. DO NOT PRESCRIBE

| Beta-lactam Antibiotic Cross-Allergy Chart | | | | | | | | | | | AVOID ALL beta-lactam antibiotics if: ICU admission related to allergy | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|----------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|---|
| Beta-lactarns | *MOXICILLIN* | WINCILLIN | CONVCIUN | PBNICLUN | PPERACILLIN* | CEADROXIL | CEA ZOUN | CEPHALEON | CEFOUTIN | TIZONARD | CELINOXOME | CBROME | CEFOTAXIME | CBTAZIDIME | CETTNAXONE | CBFEPIME | BUT APENEM | WENBEIWI | MBNOPENBM | Delayed beta-lactam antibiotic allergy causing: - interstitial nephritis - hepatitis - hemolytic anemia |
| AMOXICILIN* | | X1 | X2 | χ ⁴ | χ³ | χı | < | χı | < | χ² | < | 1 | | 1 | < | < | < | 1 | < | Delayed severe skin allergic reactions: |
| AMPICILLIN | χ1 | | X ³ | X ⁴ | χ³ | χ² | ~ | χ² | ~ | χ² | ~ | 1 | 1 | 1 | ~ | < | ~ | 1 | ~ | Stevens-Johnson syndrome toxic epidermal necrolysis |
| CLOXACILIN | γ ³ | V ³ | | ¥3 | ¥3 | ~ | 1 | ~ | 1 | ~ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ~ | 1 | 1 | 1 | - exfoliative dermatitis |
| | ^ | ^ | | ^ | ~ | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis |
| PENICILIN | Χ. | X. | X- | | X- | × | • | × | X- | × | × | × | × | × | × | × | ~ | × | × | (AGEP) - drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic |
| PIPERACILIN* | X³ | Χs | Xa | Xa | | χ³ | < | Xa | ✓ | Xa | ✓ | × | ✓ | × | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | × | < | symptoms (DRESS) |
| CEFADROXIL | χı | χ² | ✓ | ✓ | Χ³ | | ✓ | χı | ✓ | χ² | ✓ | ✓ | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | \checkmark | |
| CEFAZOLIN | 1 | ~ | 1 | ~ | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ✓ | 1 | 1 | 1 | LEGEND: |
| CEPHALEXIN | X1 | χ² | ✓ | ✓ | χ³ | X۱ | ✓ | | | χ² | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ✓ | ✓ | 1 | ✓ | Penicilins |
| CEFOXITIN | ✓ | ~ | ✓ | χ³ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | 1 | χ² | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ✓ | ✓ | 1 | ✓ | 1st Generation Cephalosporins |
| CEFPROZIL | χ² | χ² | 1 | 1 | χ³ | χ² | 1 | χ² | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ✓ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2nd Generation Cephalosporins |
| CEFUROXIME | 1 | 1 | 1 | ✓ | 1 | 1 | ✓ | 1 | $\chi^{\rm z}$ | 1 | | χ³ | χı | χ³ | χı | χ² | ✓ | 1 | 1 | 3rd Generation Cephalosporins |
| CEFIXIME | ✓ | ~ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | 1 | χ³ | | χ³ | χ³ | χ³ | χ³ | ✓ | 1 | ✓ | 4th Generation Cephalosporins |
| CEFOTAXIME | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | 1 | X1 | χ³ | | Хз | X1 | χı | ✓ | 1 | ✓ | Carbapenems |
| CEFTAZIDIME | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | χ³ | χ³ | χ³ | | χ³ | χ³ | ✓ | ✓ | \checkmark | Different structure. CONSIDERED SAFE TO PRESCRIBE |
| CEFTRIAXONE | ✓ | \checkmark | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | χı | χ³ | X1 | χ³ | | X1 | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | Reaction likely based on side chain: |
| CEFEPIME | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ~ | χ^{z} | χ³ | χı | χ³ | χı | | 1 | 1 | \checkmark | X1 Same side chain - clinical evidence of cross reaction. DO NOT PRESCRIBE |
| ERTAPENEM | 1 | 1 | ✓ | ✓ | 1 | ✓ | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | 1 | \checkmark | \checkmark | 1 | \checkmark | \checkmark | | X٦ | X2 | 2 Same side chain - Theoretical risk of cross reaction, no clinical studies. DO NOT PRESCRIBE |
| IMIPENEM | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | \checkmark | ✓ | - | ✓ | \checkmark | - | ✓ | ✓ | X2 | | Χs | X ³ Similar side chain - Potential for cross reaction. DO NOT PRESCRIBE |
| MEROPENEM | ✓ | × | ✓ | ✓ | 1 | ✓ | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | 1 | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | X2 | X2 | | Reaction likely based on Beta-lactam ring |
| • Also applie | s to b | oeta-la | actan | nase i | nhibi | tor co | ombir | ation | s (am | oxici | llin-cl | avula | nate | and p | oipera | cillin | -tazo | bacta | m) | X ⁴ Clinical evidence of cross reaction. DO NOT PRESCRIBE |

Febrile Neutropenia-Beta-lactam Allergy

| Antibiotic Allergy | Treatment Option(s) |
|--|--|
| Allergic to any of: | AVOID: amoxicillin-clavulanate, piperacillin-tazobactam (cross-allergy) |
| Amoxicillin | Low Risk: |
| Amoxicillin-clavulanate | Oral Option: cefuroxime axetil plus metronidazole |
| Ampicillin | IV Option: ceftriaxone |
| Cephalexin | If MRSA colonization or infection: add TMP-SMX PO or vancomycin IV |
| Cloxacillin | If previous infection with Pseudomonas aeruginosa, add ciprofloxacin IV/PO |
| Penicillin | High Risk: |
| Piperacillin | Ceftazidime plus vancomycin IV plus metronidazole IV/PO |
| Piperacillin-tazobactam | If hemodynamic instability or previous ESBL or AmpC: meropenem plus vancomycin IV |
| | If previous VRE, add daptomycin or linezolid (instead of vancomycin IV, if applicable) |
| Allergic to: | No cross-allergy with other beta-lactam antibiotics |
| Cefazolin | Low risk: Refer to Chart 2 |
| | High Risk:Refer to Chart 3 |
| Allergic to any of: | AVOID: ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, cefuroxime (cross-allergy) |
| Cefepime | Low Risk: |
| Cefixime | Oral Option: amoxicillin-clavulanate |
| Cefotaxime | IV Option: clindamycin plus ciprofloxacin |
| Ceftazidime | If MRSA colonization or infection: add TMP-SMX PO or vancomycin IV |
| Ceftriaxone | If previous infection with Pseudomonas aeruginosa, add ciprofloxacin IV/PO |
| Cefuroxime | High Risk: Refer to Chart 3 |
| Allergic to any of: | No known cross-allergy with other beta-lactam antibiotics |
| Allergic to any of: Ertapenem Imipenem-cilastatin Meropenem | Low Risk: Refer to Chart 2 High Risk: Piperacillin-tazobactam Piperacillin-tazobactam in last 90 days or previous ESBL or AmpC: Consult Infectious Diseases If MRSA colonization or infection, catheter-related infection, skin/soft tissue infection, pneumonia, hemodynamic instability: add vancomycin If previous VRE, add daptomycin or linezolid (instead of vancomycin IV, if applicable) |
| | |

D

What is New in Infection Control?



Clostridioides difficile Infections



American College Gastroenterology Clinical Guidelines - May 2021

Probiotics

- recommend against probiotics for the prevention of CDI in patients being treated with antibiotics
- recommend against probiotics for the prevention of CDI recurrence

Probiotics removed from Provincial Formulary 2019



American College Gastroenterology 2021

Prevention of recurrence

- \geq 2 recurrences of CDI treat with FMT
 - colonoscopy
 - capsules
 - enema
- repeat FMT if recurrence of CDI within 8 weeks of initial FMT
- suppressive oral vancomycin





| • | | |
|----|----------|--------|
| Ý) | Interior | Health |

| CLOSTRIDIOIDES difficile | |
|--------------------------|--|
| INFECTION | |
| Acute and Long-term Care | |

Weight (kg)

Bulleted orders are initiated by default, unless crossed out and initialed by the physician/prescriber. Boxed orders (
) require physician/prescriber check mark (
) to be initiated.

- 1. ALLERGIES: See Allergy/ADR record
- 2. CURRENT MEDICATIONS
 - Discontinue bowel protocols, laxatives and stool softeners. Specify:
 - Discontinue antidiarrheals (attapulgite [Kaopectate[®]], loperamide [Imodium[®]] and / or diphenoxylate-atropine [Lomotil[®]]). Specify:
 - Discontinue antibiotics if possible; specify antibiotic(s) to be discontinued:
 - Discontinue proton pump inhibitors (e.g., esomeprazole [Nexium⁸], lansoprazole [Prevacid⁸], omeprazole [Losec⁸], pantoprazole [Pantoloc⁹, Tecta⁹]). Specify:

3. LABORATORY

- · Do not repeat stool for Clostridioides difficile testing if positive within the last 30 days
- □ Stool for C. difficile if positive test greater than 30 days
- 4. TREATMENT (select only ONE option from list below)

First Episode/Recurrence:

vancomycin 125 mg PO or by feeding tube Q6H × 10 days

Alternative

If unable to take PO/feeding tube: 🛛 metroNIDAZOLE 500 mg IV Q8H × 10 days

If vancomycin allergic or intolerant: Intervolution metroNIDAZOLE 500 mg PO or by feeding tube Q8H × 10 days NOTE:

- IV metroNIDAZOLE is not as effective as PO/feeding tube vancomycin. Change to PO or by feeding tube as soon
 as possible
- IV vancomycin is not effective against C. difficile infection
- vancomycin dose administered by feeding tube is compounded from injectable vancomycin
- Recurrent Clostridioides difficile infection defined as greater than or equal to 3 diarrheal stools / day within 8 weeks of completion of therapy

Multiple recurrences (Choose one option):

Pulse therapy

- vancomycin 125 mg PO QID × 14 days, then
- vancomycin 125 mg PO EVERY THREE DAYS × 10 doses
- Taper therapy

68

- vancomycin 125 mg PO QID × 14 days, then
- vancomycin 125 mg PO BID × 7 days, then
- vancomycin 125 mg PO DAILY for 7 days, then
- vancomycin 125 mg PO EVERY 3 DAYS × 21 days, then stop

For fulminant disease - see Page 2



FIG 1 C. difficile colonization versus C. difficile infection. CDI, Clostridium difficile infection.

C. difficile Colonization

Acute care / long-term care facilities: 8-10%

- Asymptomatic carriers:
 - capable of shedding spores
 - reservoir for environmental contamination to other patients
- Canadian study:
 - 4% carriage among patients on admission
 - 3% patients acquired C.difficile during hospitalization
- USA study:
 - 20% prevalence of *C.difficile* colonization on admission
 - 15% toxigenic vs 5% vs non-toxigenic



COVID-19



Isolation Pathway for Adult Inpatients Under Investigation for COVID-19









